E-Mail Request for Emergency Relief

1.	Case Number:	04 -cv- 1199 -SLR
2.	Check the box that applies	3 :
.	Requesting an in-persor	ence with the parties and the court conference with the parties and the court above listed options at the court's determination
3.	BRIEFLY describe the rea	son for this emergency request:
	may be completed before went on for months, ISS for requested that ISS supple among IBM, ISS, and third and the valuation of ISS's at least to secondary consupdated privilege log and produced this discovery.	hat IBM was acquiring ISS for \$1.3 billion. The acquisition trial of this case. While certainly discussions of this deal ailed to advise SRI or the Court. SRI immediately ement its discovery by producing all documents exchanged diparties concerning this litigation, the accused products, and SRI's technology. This information is directly relevant siderations of non-obviousness. SRI also requested an that ISS agree to postpone the deposition of its CEO until it SS refused all requests. SRI requests ISS be compelled to formation, that SRI be permitted to subpoena IBM, and that surther deposition.
*	Any text added beyond the	e limits of this space will be disregarded by the court.
4.	Name of opposing counse	I contacted about this request: H. Hawkins, N. Moffitt
5.	Response of opposing cou	unsel to this request:
;		
,		S. S
3.	Name of local counsel ma	king this request: John F. Horvath
7.	Today's Date: 09/08/06	
=0	r court use only:	**************************************

Given ISS's representation that "no documents were exchanged between ISS and IBM concerning this litigation", the timing of this request after the close of discovery, and the attenuated relevance of such information even if it did exist, SRI's request for further discovery related to IBM's acquisition of ISS is denied.

Opposing Counsel's Response to E-Mail Request for Emergency Relief

1. Case Number:	04-cv-1199-SLR
-----------------	----------------

2. BRIEFLY state your response to the **emergency** request made by opposing counsel:

SRI should not be permitted to reopen discovery 7 weeks before trial. The announced acquisition of ISS by IBM has no relevance to the issues to be tried. Moreover, the parties expressly agreed that ISS has no obligation to produce documents created on or after June 23, 2005. In any event, no documents were exchanged between ISS and IBM concerning this litigation. ISS's CEO, Tom Noonan, sat for a full deposition on August 31 and answered any and all questions directed to him relating to the announced acquisition. There is no basis for SRI to depose him a second time.

- 3. Name of local counsel submitting this response: Richard L. Horwitz
- 4. Today's Date: September 11, 2006

^{*}Any text added to beyond the limits of this space will be disregarded by the court.